UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Lynchburg Division

In re WDRL-TV, INC.	)	Case No. 06-61051
	)	
Debtor,	)	
	)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the court on an "Application for Oral Hearing" by the Debtor. On May 2, 2007, Charter Communications VI, LLC ("Charter"), the Debtor, MNE LLC, Melvin N. Eleazer and Liberty University, Inc., entered into a stipulated agreement by which they agreed that "Charter is the holder of an allowed unsecured claim against the Debtor in the actual amount of $647,467.01."

They further agreed that Charter would be allowed attorneys' fees in an amount to be determined by this court after Charter filed an application for fees. The parties further agreed that the Debtor and the United States trustee could file any objections to the fee application as they deemed appropriate within 14 days of the filing of the fee application. They further agreed that the court would consider the application and any objections filed and would determine the amount of the fees to be allowed. The parties further agreed that the parties, and each of them, waived any right to appeal the determination of the amount of fees by this court. The parties did not waive the right to file a motion for reconsideration of this court's determination of fees.

Charter filed the fee request, which, including detailed time sheets, consists of 255 pages. The Debtor filed an objection and Charter filed a reply.

On July 16, 2007, the Debtor filed an application for oral hearing. It states "[b]y the filing of an objection to Charter's Proof of Claim the Debtor raises several specific objections to the Charter claim as to which it will be necessary to conduct an evidentiary hearing at which oral and other evidence will be introduced."

The Debtor has stipulated to the amount of Charter's claim. It is equal to $647,467.01 plus the amount of fees that may be awarded by this court, as possibly amended pursuant to a motion for reconsideration by one of the parties. The Debtor has waived any right to object the amount of the claim, other than by the filing of a response to the fee application. Accordingly, the motion for a hearing will be denied.

ORDER

The motion of the Debtor for an oral hearing for the purpose of introducing evidence in support of an objection to Carter's claim is denied.

So ORDERED.

Upon entry of this Order the Clerk shall forward a copy to Steven L. Higgs, Esq., George A. McLean, Jr., Esq., Peter M. Pearl, Esq., Peter Brodell, Esq., and Howard J. Beck, Jr. Esq.

Entered on this 31st day of July, 2007.

William E. Anderson
United States Bankruptcy Judge